Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/619,094		,	
Examiner 897 8/1/05	Art Unit		
Daniel J. Petkovsek	2874		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.			
ments filed June 28, 2005.			
3. X The drawings filed on <u>June 28, 2005</u> are accepted by the Examiner.			
cuments have been received in this	national stage applica		
		NOTICE OF	
. s Amendment / Comment or in the C s84(c)) should be written on the drawir he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r	Office action of ngs in the front (not the d). nust be submitted.		
6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amendr	(PTO-413), tenent/Comment ent of Reasons for Alle AKM FNAME	owance	
	Daniel J. Petkovsek ars on the cover sheet with the co (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application is subject to and MPEP 1308. ments filed June 28, 2005. Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this in of this communication to file a reply ENT of this application. atted. Note the attached EXAMINER is reason(s) why the oath or declarate it be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Amendment / Comment or in the Co Set (C) should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) Set (C) Should be	Examiner Park Shape Shap	

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment with arguments filed June 28, 2005.

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on June 28, 2005. These drawings are acknowledged.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-36 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the relevant prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest an optical dispersion compensator for substantially compensating *each* wavelength in the WDM optical signal for dispersion at a prescribed wavelength within a first sub-band of said prescribed bandwidth. Each independent claim (1, 15, and 27) includes this distinguishing limitation. The closest prior art of record (Ellis U.S.P. No. 6,122,418) does not teach or reasonably suggest that compensation occurs at *each* wavelength.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see response with arguments, filed June 28, 2005, with respect to the rejections to Ellis '418, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-10 and 13-26 to Ellis '418 have been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,094

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Petkovsek whose telephone number is (571) 272-2355.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Petkovsek August 1, 2005 AWM ENAYET ULLAH AKM ENAYET ULLAH ARMARY EXAMINER

Page 3